

Multipliers and convolution spaces for the Hankel space and its dual on the half space $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$

C. Baccar

Cyrine Baccar Institut Supérieur d'Informatique, Département de mathématiques appliquées 2, rue Abourraihan Al Bayrouni 2080, Ariana, Tunis

E-mail: cyrine.baccar@isi.rnu.tn

Abstract

We define the Hankel space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$; $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, and its dual $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. First, we characterize the space $\mathcal{M}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ of multipliers of the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Next, we define a subspace $\mathcal{O}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ of the dual $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ which permits to define and study a convolution product $*$ on $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and we give nice properties.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. **42B10**. 42B15

Keywords. Hankel space, Fourier-Hankel transform, multipliers, convolution product.

1 Introduction.

We define the Hankel space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ to be the space of infinitely differentiable functions f on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$, such that for all $(k_1, \alpha), (k_2, \beta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, the function

$$(r, x) \mapsto r^{k_1} x^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^{k_2} D_x^\beta (r^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} f(r, x))$$

is bounded on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$. Where

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$.
- $D_x^\beta = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{\beta_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\beta_n}$; $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$.
- $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$; $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

The space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is equipped with a topology for which it is a Fréchet one [2, 10].

Our investigation in this work is to determine the space of multipliers of $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and a convolution space for the dual space $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

More precisely, in the second section we define a family of norms N_m^μ , $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a distance d_μ on the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and we recall some properties. Next, we give the classical description of the element of $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, we define the Fourier-Hankel transform \mathcal{H}_μ that will be a topological isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself and from $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself.

The spaces $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ play for the Fourier-Hankel transform \mathcal{H}_μ the same role that play the Schwartz space's $\mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ (the space of infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ rapidly decreasing together with all their derivatives, even with respect to the first variable) and its dual

$\mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for the usual Fourier transform \mathcal{F} [7].

The second section is devoted to define and study the space of multipliers $\mathcal{M}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. This space is formed by the infinitely differentiable functions θ on $[0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n$ such that the mapping

$$\varphi \mapsto \theta\varphi$$

is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself. Then we give a nice characterization of the elements of $\mathcal{M}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In the last section, using the fact that the Fourier-Hankel transform is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself; we define a subspace $\mathcal{O}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ which permits to define the convolution product of an element $T \in \mathcal{O}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. We prove in particular that for every $T \in \mathcal{O}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$; the mapping

$$S \mapsto T * S,$$

is continuous from $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself and we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(T * S) = \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(T)(\lambda_0, \lambda) \mathcal{H}_\mu(S)$$

in $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2 The multipliers of the Hankel space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Through out this paper, μ is a real number; $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the norm N_m^μ on the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ by setting

$$N_m^\mu(f) = \sup_{\substack{(r,x) \in [0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n \\ k_1+k_2+|\alpha| \leq m}} (1+r^2+|x|^2)^{k_1} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^{k_2} D_x^\alpha (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} f)(r, x) \right|. \quad (2.1)$$

Where $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$; $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

And the distance

$$d_\mu(f, g) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \frac{N_m^\mu(f-g)}{1+N_m^\mu(f-g)}.$$

It is well known that a sequence $(f_k)_k$ converges to zero in $(\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n), d_\mu)$ if and only if

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} N_m^\mu(f_k) = 0.$$

Moreover, the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Fréchet space when endowed with the topology generated by $(N_m^\mu)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 2.1. A function θ defined on $[0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a multiplier of the Hankel space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ if the mapping

$$\varphi \mapsto \theta\varphi$$

is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

The space formed by the multipliers of $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In this section, we give a nice characterization of the space $\mathcal{M}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$; also we define a topology on this space and we establish some interesting results.

Lemma 2.2. *For all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\frac{1+a^2}{1+b^2} \leq 2(1+|a-b|^2).$$

Proof. The result is an immediate consequence of the Peetre's inequality [1, 8], that is if t is a real number and x, y are vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{|t|} \leq 2^{|t|}(1+|x-y|^2)^{|t|}.$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.3. *Let f be an infinitely differentiable function on \mathbb{R} , $\text{supp}(f) = [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$ and $f(1) = 1$. Let $((r_k, x_k))_k$ be a sequence in $[0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n$, such that*

$$|(r_0, x_0)|^2 > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |(r_{k+1}, x_{k+1})|^2 > |(r_k, x_k)|^2 + 1.$$

Then, the function φ_0 defined by

$$\varphi_0(r, x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(|(r, x)|^2 - |(r_k, x_k)|^2 + 1)}{\left(|(r_k, x_k)|^2 + 1\right)^k}$$

belongs to the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho > 1$.

For all $(r, x) \in B(0, \rho) = \{(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n, r^2 + |x|^2 < \rho^2\}$, we have

$$r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_0(r, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{f(|(r, x)|^2 - |(r_k, x_k)|^2 + 1)}{\left(|(r_k, x_k)|^2 + 1\right)^k},$$

where $k_0 = \lfloor -\frac{1}{2} + \rho^2 \rfloor + 1$, because $\text{supp}(f) = [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$.

Consequently, the function

$$(r, x) \mapsto r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_0(r, x)$$

is infinitely differentiable on $[0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, for all $j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1+r^2+|x|^2)^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^j D_x^\alpha (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_0)(r, x) \right| \leq \\ & 2^j (1+r^2+|x|^2)^m P_{j,\alpha}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{j+|\alpha|}(r^2+|x|^2-r_k^2-|x_k|^2+1)}{\left(r_k^2+|x_k|^2+1\right)^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Where $P_{j,\alpha}$ is a real polynomial on \mathbb{R}^n . Thus, there exist an integer l and a positive constant $C_{j,\alpha}$ such that

$$(1+r^2+|x|^2)^m \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^j D_x^\alpha (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_0)(r,x) \right| \leq C_{j,\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+r^2+|x|^2)^l \frac{|f^{j+|\alpha|}(r^2+|x|^2-r_k^2-|x_k|^2+1)|}{(r_k^2+|x_k|^2+1)^k}.$$

However, Lemma 2.2 involves

$$\begin{aligned} (1+r^2+|x|^2)^l &\leq 2^l (1+r_k^2+|x_k|^2)^l \left(1 + \left[\sqrt{r^2+|x|^2} - \sqrt{r_k^2+|x_k|^2} \right]^2 \right)^l \\ &\leq 2^l (1+r_k^2+|x_k|^2)^l (1+|r^2+|x|^2-r_k^2-|x_k|^2|)^l \\ &\leq 2^l (1+r_k^2+|x_k|^2)^l \left(2 + (r^2+|x|^2-r_k^2-|x_k|^2)^2 \right)^l. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(1+r^2+|x|^2)^m \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^j D_x^\alpha (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_0)(r,x) \right| \leq 2^l C_{j,\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(2 + (r^2+|x|^2-r_k^2-|x_k|^2)^2 \right)^l \frac{|f^{j+|\alpha|}(r^2+|x|^2-r_k^2-|x_k|^2+1)|}{(r_k^2+|x_k|^2+1)^{k-l}}.$$

On the other hand from the hypothesis, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$r_k^2 + |x_k|^2 > k + 1,$$

finally, for all $(r,x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ we get

$$(1+r^2+|x|^2)^m \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^j D_x^\alpha (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_0)(r,x) \right| \leq 2^l C_{j,\alpha} N_{\alpha,j,l}(f) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+2)^{k-l}},$$

where $N_{\alpha,j,l}(f) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (2+(t-1)^2)^l |f^{j+|\alpha|}(t)|$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.4. *The following assumptions are equivalent*

i) *The function θ is infinitely differentiable on $[0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$ the function*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^k D_x^\alpha (\theta)$$

is slowly increasing, i.e there exists $m_{k,\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the function

$$(r,x) \mapsto (1+r^2+|x|^2)^{-m_{k,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^k D_x^\alpha (\theta)(r,x)$$

is bounded on $[0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$.

ii) The function θ is a multiplier of the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

iii) The function θ is infinitely differentiable on $]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, the mapping $\varphi \mapsto (\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2})^k D_x^\alpha(\theta)\varphi$ is a continuous endomorphism of $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. . Suppose that i) is satisfied. Let φ be in $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. It is clear that $\theta\varphi$ is an infinitely differentiable function on $]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\varphi)(r,x) = \\ & \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^j D_x^\gamma\theta(r,x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k-j} D_x^\beta(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi)(r,x). \end{aligned}$$

Where $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(k_1, k_2, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $k_1 + k_2 + |\alpha| \leq m$. From the hypothesis there exist $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and C_m such that for all $(j, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, $j + |\gamma| \leq m$, we have

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^j D_x^\gamma\theta(r,x) \right| \leq C_m(1+r^2+|x|^2)^l.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1+r^2+|x|^2)^{k_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k_2} D_x^\alpha(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\theta\varphi)(r,x) \right| \\ & \leq C_m(1+r^2+|x|^2)^{l+k_1} \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k_2!}{j!(k_2-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k_2-j} D_x^\beta(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi)(r,x) \right| \\ & \leq C_m N_{m+l}^\mu(\varphi) \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \left(\frac{k_2!}{j!(k_2-j)!} \right) \left(\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \right) = 2^{k_2} 2^{|\alpha|} C_m N_{m+l}^\mu(\varphi) \\ & \leq 2^m C_m N_{m+l}^\mu(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality shows that for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $\theta\varphi$ belongs to the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and that the mapping $\varphi \mapsto \theta\varphi$ is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

. Suppose that θ is a multiplier of the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let ψ be the element of $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$\psi(r,x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r^2-|x|^2}.$$

From the hypothesis the function

$$\varphi(r,x) = \theta(r,x)\psi(r,x),$$

belongs to the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and we have

$$\theta(r,x) = r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} e^{r^2+|x|^2} \varphi(r,x), \tag{2.2}$$

this shows that the function θ is infinitely differentiable on $]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now, the partial differential operators $\square f(r, x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2})(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}f)(r, x)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$; $1 \leq j \leq n$, are continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, and for every $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha(\theta)\varphi = \\ & \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} (-1)^{k-j} (-1)^{|\beta|} \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^j D_x^\gamma \left(\square^j \left(\theta \square^{k-j} D_x^\beta \varphi\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since θ is a multiplier of the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the last equality shows that for all $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, the mapping

$$\varphi \longmapsto \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha(\theta)\varphi,$$

is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

. Suppose that the function θ satisfies the assertion iii). From the relation (2.2), and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k(\theta)(r, x) = e^{r^2+|x|^2} \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j 2^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k-j} (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi)(r, x).$$

Let us prove that for every $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$ the function $(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2})^k D_x^\alpha(\theta)$ is slowly increasing. In fact, suppose that there exists $(k_0, \alpha_0) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, such that the function $(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2})^{k_0} D_x^{\alpha_0}(\theta)$ is not slowly increasing. Then, there exists a sequence $((r_j, x_j))_j \subset [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

- $r_0^2 + |x_0|^2 > 1$.
- $r_{j+1}^2 + |x_{j+1}|^2 > 1 + r_j^2 + |x_j|^2$.
- $\frac{(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2})^{k_0} D_x^{\alpha_0}(\theta)(r_j, x_j)}{(1 + r_j^2 + |x_j|^2)^j} > 1$.

From Lemma 2.3, the function

$$\varphi_0(r, x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(r^2 + |x|^2 - r_k^2 - |x_k|^2 + 1)}{(1 + r_k^2 + |x_k|^2)^k}$$

belongs to the Hankel space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k_0} D_x^{\alpha_0}(\theta)(r_j, x_j) r_j^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r_j, x_j) \right| &> \frac{f(1)}{(1 + r_j^2 + |x_j|^2)^j} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k_0} D_x^{\alpha_0}(\theta)(r_j, x_j) \right| \\ &= \frac{\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k_0} D_x^{\alpha_0}(\theta)(r_j, x_j) \right|}{(1 + r_j^2 + |x_j|^2)^j} > 1. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the hypothesis, because

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k_0} D_x^{\alpha_0}(\theta)(r_j, x_j) r_j^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r_j, x_j) = 0.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

Q.E.D.

Remark 2.1. From Theorem 2.4 i) and ii), we deduce that the space of multipliers $\mathcal{M}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ is independent of the real parameter μ and will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In the following, we will define and study a topology of the space $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, we denote by $\rho_{m,\varphi}^\mu$ the seminorm defined on $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\rho_{m,\varphi}^\mu(\theta) = \sup_{\substack{(r,x) \in [0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n \\ k+|\alpha| \leq m}} \left| r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r,x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^k D_x^\alpha(\theta)(r,x) \right|.$$

and we define a basic of neighborhoods of zero in $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{W}^\mu(0) = \{B_{m,\varphi,\varepsilon}^\mu(0); m \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n), \varepsilon > 0\} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$B_{m,\varphi,\varepsilon}^\mu(0) = \{\theta \in \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n); \rho_{m,\varphi}^\mu(\theta) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Then, a sequence $(\theta_k)_k$ converges to zero in $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_{m,\varphi}^\mu(\theta_k) = 0.$$

Since the mapping $\varphi \mapsto r^\nu \varphi = \Phi$ is a topological isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\mathbb{H}_\nu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ and using the fact that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\rho_{m,\varphi}^\mu(\theta) = \rho_{m,\Phi}^\nu(\theta),$$

it follows that the set $\mathcal{W}^\mu(0)$ defined by the relation (2.3) is independent of the real parameter μ and will be denoted by $\mathcal{W}(0)$.

Proposition 2.5. i) Let θ be an infinitely differentiable function on $[0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n$, such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$; $\rho_{m,\varphi}^\mu(\theta)$ is finite, then the function θ lies in $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

ii) The family of seminorms defined on $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\gamma_{m,\varphi}^\mu(\theta) = N_m^\mu(\theta\varphi); \quad \theta \in \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (2.4)$$

generates the same topology as the family $\{\rho_{m,\varphi}^\mu; m \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)\}$.

Proof. i) Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Leibniz formula, for all $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\alpha$ such that $k_1 + k_2 + |\alpha| \leq m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1+r^2+|x|^2)^{k_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^{k_2} D_x^\alpha (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r,x) \theta(r,x)) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k_2!}{j!(k_2-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \\ &\times r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^{k_2-j} D_x^\beta \theta(r,x) (1+r^2+|x|^2)^{k_1} r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^j D_x^\gamma (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi)(r,x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1+r^2+|x|^2)^{k_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^{k_2} D_x^\alpha (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r, x) \theta(r, x)) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k_2!}{j!(k_2-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \rho_{k_2-j+|\beta|, \Phi_{j,\gamma,k_1}}^\mu(\theta) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k_2!}{j!(k_2-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \rho_{m, \Phi_{j,\gamma,k_1}}^\mu(\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Where, Φ_{j,γ,k_1} is the element of $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$\Phi_{j,\gamma,k_1}(r, x) = (1+r^2+|x|^2)^{k_1} r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^j D_x^\gamma (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi)(r, x). \quad (2.6)$$

The inequality (2.5) shows that for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$; the function $\theta\varphi$ belongs to the Hankel space $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. The remainder of this proof is the same as the proof iii) implies i) in Theorem 2.4.

ii) Let $m, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\alpha$ such that $k_1 + k_2 + |\alpha| \leq m$. Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Phi_m \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\rho_{m, \Phi_m}^\mu(\theta) = \sup\{\rho_{m, \Phi_{j,\gamma,k_1}}^\mu(\theta), \quad j \leq k_2, \quad \gamma \leq \alpha; \quad k_1 + k_2 + |\alpha| \leq m\},$$

where the functions Φ_{j,γ,k_1} are given by the relation (2.6). The inequality (2.5) involves that

$$N_m^\mu(\theta\varphi) \leq 2^m \rho_{m, \Phi_m}^\mu(\theta), \quad (2.7)$$

which means that

$$\gamma_{m, \varphi}^\mu(\theta) \leq 2^m \rho_{m, \Phi_m}^\mu(\theta).$$

. Let θ and φ be two infinitely differentiable functions on $]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$. By induction on $|\alpha|$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we get

$$\varphi(r, x) D_x^\alpha \theta(r, x) = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (-1)^{|\beta|} D_x^\gamma (\theta(r, x) D_x^\beta \varphi(r, x)). \quad (2.8)$$

And by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we get also

$$\varphi(r, x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^k \theta(r, x) = \sum_{p=0}^k (-1)^p \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^{k-p} (\theta(r, x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^p \varphi(r, x)). \quad (2.9)$$

Combining the relations (2.8) and (2.9), we deduce that for all $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r, x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^k D_x^\alpha \theta(r, x) = \\ & \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} (-1)^{|\beta|+p} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^{k-p} D_x^\gamma \left(\theta(r, x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^p D_x^\beta r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r, x) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, from the last equality, it follows that for every $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$; $k + |\alpha| \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(r,x)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha \theta(r,x)| &\leq \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} N_{k-p+|\alpha|}^\mu(\theta\Phi_{p,\beta}) \\ &\leq \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} N_m^\mu(\theta\Phi_{p,\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_{p,\beta}(r,x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^p D_x^\beta r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r,x) \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Now, let Φ_m be an element of $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, such that

$$\sup\{N_m^\mu(\theta\Phi_{p,\beta}), p + |\beta| \leq m\} = N_m^\mu(\theta\Phi_m).$$

Then,

$$\rho_{m,\varphi}^\mu(\theta) \leq 2^m \gamma_{m,\Phi_m}(\theta).$$

The proof of the proposition is complete. Q.E.D.

Let $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of infinitely differential functions on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the family of seminorms $\{P_{m,l}; (m,l) \in \mathbb{N}^2\}$ defined by

$$P_{m,l}(f) = \sup_{\substack{r^2+|x|^2 \leq l^2 \\ k+|\alpha| \leq m}} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)^k D_x^\alpha f(r,x) \right|$$

and the distance

$$d(f,g) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m+l}} \frac{P_{m,l}(f-g)}{1 + P_{m,l}(f-g)}.$$

Then, we have the following continuous embedding

Lemma 2.6. $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n).$

Proof. Let $\psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$; $\psi(r,x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r^2-|x|^2}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. From the relation (2.10), for every $\theta \in \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, $k + |\alpha| \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha \theta(r,x) &= e^{r^2+|x|^2} \\ &\sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} (-1)^{|\beta|+p} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k-p} D_x^\gamma \left(\theta(r,x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^p D_x^\beta r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \psi(r,x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

However, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $k+1$ real polynomials, Q_j , $0 \leq j \leq k$, such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)^k = \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j(r) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^j$$

with $\text{degree}(Q_j) \leq j$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha \theta(r, x) &= e^{r^2+|x|^2} \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} (-1)^{|\beta|+p} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{k-p} Q_j(r) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^j D_x^\gamma (\theta(r, x) \left(\sum_{i=0}^p Q_i(r) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^i D_x^\beta r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \psi(r, x)\right)) \right\} = \\ &e^{r^2+|x|^2} \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} (-1)^{|\beta|+p} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \sum_{j=0}^{k-p} Q_j(r) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^j D_x^\gamma (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{p,\beta}(r, x)) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\psi_{p,\beta}(r, x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^p Q_i(r) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^i D_x^\beta r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \psi(r, x)\right).$$

Now, for all $0 \leq j \leq k-p$, there exists $C_j > 0$, such that

$$|Q_j(r)| \leq C_j(1+r^2)^j \leq C_j(1+r^2+|x|^2)^j$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha \theta(r, x) \right| &\leq e^{r^2+|x|^2} \times \\ &\sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \sum_{j=0}^{k-p} C_j(1+r^2+|x|^2)^j \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^j D_x^\gamma (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{p,\beta}(r, x)) \right| \\ &\leq e^{r^2+|x|^2} \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-p} C_j\right) N_m^\mu(\theta \psi_{p,\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\psi_m \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\sup\{N_m^\mu(\theta \psi_{p,\beta}), p+|\beta| \leq m\} = N_m^\mu(\theta \psi_m).$$

Then, for all $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $k+|\alpha| \leq m$

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha \theta(r, x) \right| \leq C_m 2^m e^{r^2+|x|^2} N_m^\mu(\theta \psi_m),$$

where, $C_m = \sum_{j=0}^m C_j$. This equality shows that for all $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$

$$P_{l,m}(\theta) \leq 2^m C_m e^{l^2} \gamma_{m,\psi_m}^\mu(\theta).$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.7. *The space $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is Hausdorff and complete.*

Proof. • Let $\theta \in \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\theta \neq 0$. Let $\varphi(r, x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r^2-|x|^2}$, then φ belongs to the Hankel space and we have

$$\rho_{0,\varphi}^{\mu}(\theta) = \sup_{(r,x) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-r^2-|x|^2} |\theta(r, x)| > 0,$$

this shows that the space $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is separated

• Let $(\theta_k)_k$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. This means that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_{\mu}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\rho_{m,\varphi}^{\mu}(\theta_k - \theta_{k'}) \xrightarrow{k, k' \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

From Lemma 2.6 $(\theta_k)_k$ is a Cauchy's sequence in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ which is complete. Consequently, there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$P_{m,l}(\theta_k - \theta) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_{\mu}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists $k_0 = k_0(m, \varphi, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall k, k' > k_0; \quad \rho_{m,\varphi}^{\mu}(\theta_k - \theta_{k'}) < \varepsilon,$$

this means that for all $(r, x) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(p, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$; $p + |\alpha| \leq m$;

$$\left| r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r, x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^p D_x^{\alpha} (\theta_k - \theta_{k'})(r, x) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

and consequently

$$\left| r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r, x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^p D_x^{\alpha} (\theta_k - \theta)(r, x) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

This inequality shows that the function θ belongs to $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and that for all $(m, \varphi) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{H}_{\mu}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\rho_{m,\varphi}^{\mu}(\theta_k - \theta) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Q.E.D.

In the following, we shall study the continuity of some operators defined on $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 2.8.

i) The bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n) \\ (\theta, \vartheta) &\mapsto \theta \vartheta \end{aligned}$$

is separately continuous.

ii) For every $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, the map $\theta \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^k D_x^{\alpha} \theta(r, x)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

Proof.

i) Fix $\theta \in \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\vartheta \in \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $k + |\alpha| \leq m$.

It is clear that $\theta\vartheta$ is an infinitely differentiable function on $]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ and by applying Leibniz formula we get for all $(r, x) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(r, x)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha(\theta\vartheta)(r, x) &= \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(r, x) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^j D_x^\beta\theta(r, x)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k-j} D_x^\gamma\vartheta(r, x). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.4, there exist $C_{j,\beta} > 0$ and $m_{j,\beta} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^j D_x^\beta\theta(r, x) \right| \leq C_{j,\beta}(1+r^2+|x|^2)^{m_{j,\beta}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(r, x)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha(\theta\vartheta)(r, x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \left| r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{j,\beta}(r, x)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^{k-j} D_x^\gamma\vartheta(r, x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \rho_{k-j+|\gamma|, \Phi_{j,\beta}}^\mu(\vartheta) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \rho_{m, \Phi_{j,\beta}}^\mu(\vartheta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_{j,\beta}$ is the element of $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$\Phi_{j,\beta}(r, x) = C_{j,\beta}(1+r^2+|x|^2)^{m_{j,\beta}}\varphi(r, x).$$

Let $\Phi_m \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\rho_{m, \Phi_m}^\mu(\vartheta) = \sup\{\rho_{m, \Phi_{j,\beta}}^\mu(\vartheta), j + |\alpha| \leq m\}.$$

Then, the last inequality involves that

$$\rho_{m, \varphi}^\mu(\theta\vartheta) \leq 2^m \rho_{m, \Phi_m}^\mu(\vartheta).$$

ii) Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$. Then for all $\theta \in \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\rho_{m, \varphi}^\mu\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha\theta\right) \leq \rho_{m+k+|\alpha|, \varphi}^\mu(\theta).$$

Which completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.9. *The bilinear mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n) &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n) \\ (\theta, \varphi) &\mapsto \theta\varphi \end{aligned}$$

is separately continuous.

Proof. • From Definition 2.1, it follows that for every $\theta \in \mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ the mapping $\varphi \mapsto \theta\varphi$ is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

• Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. The continuity of the mapping $\theta \mapsto \theta\varphi$ from $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ follows from the relation (2.7). Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.10. *The mapping $\varphi \mapsto r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi$ is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\mathcal{M}([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $m, k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $k + |\alpha| \leq m$, we have for all $(r, x) \in]0, \infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\left| r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(r, x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}\right)^k D_x^\alpha (r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi)(r, x) \right| \leq N_0^\mu(\varphi) N_m^\mu(\varphi),$$

which implies that

$$\rho_{m,\varphi}^\mu(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi) \leq N_0^\mu(\varphi) N_m^\mu(\varphi).$$

Q.E.D.

3 The convolution space of the dual $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the topological dual of the Hankel space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. To give the usual characterization of the dual $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ we use the fact that for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, the family

$$\mathcal{V}'_\mu(\varphi) = \{V_{m,\varepsilon,\mu}(\varphi), m \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0\}$$

is a basis of neighborhoods of φ in $(\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n), d_\mu)$. Where

$$V_{m,\varepsilon,\mu}(\varphi) = \{\psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n); N_m^\mu(\varphi - \psi) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Thus, we have

Proposition 3.1. *A linear mapping*

$$T : \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

belongs to $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there exist a positive constant C and an integer m such that for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$;

$$|\langle T, \varphi \rangle| \leq CN_m^\mu(\varphi). \tag{3.1}$$

The main result of this section consists to define a subspace of the dual $\mathbb{H}'_{\mu}(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ which permits to define and study the convolution product on $\mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. For this we shall define the Hankel translation operators, the convolution product and the Fourier-Hankel transform and we recall some properties, see [6].

Definition 3.2. 1. For every $(r, x) \in \]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n$; the Hankel translation operator $\tau_{(r,x)}^{\mu}$ is defined on $\mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\tau_{(r,x)}^{\mu}(\varphi)(s, y) = \begin{cases} \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s} \varphi(t, x+y) \mathcal{W}_{\mu}(r, s, t) \frac{t^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{\mu}\Gamma(\mu+1)} dt; & \mu > -\frac{1}{2} \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left[\frac{\varphi(r+s, x+y) + \varphi(r-s, x+y)}{2} \right]; & \mu = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

2. The convolution product of $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, is given by

$$\varphi * \psi(r, x) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r-x)}^{\mu}(\check{\varphi})(s, y) \psi(s, y) \frac{ds dy}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Where \mathcal{W}_{μ} is the Hankel kernel given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(rs)^{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\mu+1) [(r+s)^2 - t^2]^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} [t^2 - (r-s)^2]^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{2\mu-1} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2}) t^{2\mu}}; & |r-s| < t < r+s \\ 0; & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $\check{\varphi}(s, y) = \varphi(s, -y)$.

To define the Fourier Hankel transform, we introduce the function $\varphi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^{\mu}$, $(\lambda_0, \lambda) \in \]0, \infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n$ to be

$$\varphi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^{\mu}(r, x) = \mathcal{J}_{\mu}(r\lambda_0) e^{-i(\lambda|x)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Where

- \mathcal{J}_{μ} is the modified Bessel function defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu}(z) = \sqrt{z} J_{\mu}(z).$$

And J_{μ} is the Bessel function of the first kind and index μ (see [4, 3, 5, 9]).

- $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n , $\langle \lambda | x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j$.

Definition 3.3. The Fourier-Hankel transform \mathcal{H}_{μ} is defined on $\mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ by, for all $(\lambda_0, \lambda) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$;

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(\varphi)(\lambda_0, \lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(r, x) \varphi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^{\mu}(r, x) \frac{dr dx}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

It was shown in [6] that

- \mathcal{H}_μ is a topological isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself and that the inverse mapping is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu^{-1}(f)(r, x) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\lambda_0, \lambda) \overline{\Phi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^\mu(r, x)} \frac{d\lambda_0 d\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

- For every $\psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $(r, x) \in]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ the function $\tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\psi)$ belongs to $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\psi))(\lambda_0, \lambda) = \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\Phi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^\mu(r, x)} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\psi)(\lambda_0, \lambda) \tag{3.4}$$

- For every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $\varphi * \psi$ belongs to the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi * \psi)(\lambda_0, \lambda) = \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi)(\lambda_0, \lambda) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\psi)(\lambda_0, \lambda),$$

The precedent result allows us to define the Fourier-Hankel transform \mathcal{H}_μ on $\mathbb{H}'_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_\mu(T), \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi) \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then, \mathcal{H}_μ becomes a topological isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}'_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself.

Next, we establish other properties for the translation operator and the convolution product that we use later.

Proposition 3.4. *For every $(r, x) \in]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$, the Hankel translation operator $\tau_{(r,x)}^\mu$ is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself. Moreover, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\forall \psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n), \quad N_m^\mu(\tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\psi)) \leq C(1 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{m_1} N_{m_2}^\mu(\psi). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. From the relation (3.4), we have, for every $\psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\psi)(s, y) = \mathcal{H}_\mu^{-1} \left(\lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\Phi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^\mu(r, x)} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\psi) \right) (s, y).$$

Since the transform \mathcal{H}_μ^{-1} is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} N_m^\mu(\tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\psi)) &= N_m^\mu \left(\mathcal{H}_\mu^{-1} \left(\lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\Phi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^\mu(r, x)} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\psi) \right) \right) \\ &\leq C N_{m'}^\mu \left(\lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\Phi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^\mu(r, x)} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\psi) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_0, \lambda) &= \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\Phi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^\mu(r, x)} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(\lambda_0, \lambda) \\ &= \frac{r^{\mu+1/2}}{2^\mu \Gamma(\mu+1)} j_\mu(\lambda_0 r) e^{i(\lambda|x)} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(\lambda_0, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where j_μ is the modified Bessel function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} j_\mu(s) &= 2^\mu \Gamma(\mu+1) \frac{J_\mu(s)}{s^\mu} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(st) dt; & \mu > -\frac{1}{2} \\ \cos(s); & \mu = -\frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0^2} \right)^k (j_\mu(\lambda_0 r)) = \frac{(-r^2)^k}{2^k \Gamma(\mu+k+1)} j_{\mu+k}(\lambda_0 r). \quad (3.6)$$

Thus, from Leibniz formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0^2} \right)^k \left(\lambda_0^{-\mu-1/2} f(\lambda_0, \lambda) \right) = \\ &\frac{r^{\mu+1/2}}{2^\mu \Gamma(\mu+1)} e^{i(\lambda|x)} \sum_{l=0}^k C_k^l \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0^2} \right)^l (j_\mu(\lambda_0 r)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0^2} \right)^{k-l} \left(\lambda_0^{-\mu-1/2} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(\lambda_0, \lambda) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and from the relation (3.6), for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0^2} \right)^k \left(\lambda_0^{-\mu-1/2} f(\lambda_0, \lambda) \right) &= \frac{r^{\mu+1/2}}{2^\mu \Gamma(\mu+1)} \times \\ &\sum_{l=0}^k C_k^l (-1)^l \frac{r^{2l}}{2^l \Gamma(\mu+l+1)} j_{\mu+l}(\lambda_0 r) D_\lambda^\alpha \left(e^{i(\lambda|x)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0^2} \right)^{k-l} \left(\lambda_0^{-\mu-1/2} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(\lambda_0, \lambda) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{r^{\mu+1/2}}{2^\mu \Gamma(\mu+1)} \sum_{l=0}^k C_k^l (-1)^l \frac{r^{2l}}{2^l \Gamma(\mu+l+1)} j_{\mu+l}(\lambda_0 r) \times \\ &\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha-\beta)!} (ix)^\beta e^{i(\lambda|x)} D_\lambda^{\alpha-\beta} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0^2} \right)^{k-l} \left(\lambda_0^{-\mu-1/2} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(\lambda_0, \lambda) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $k_1 + k_2 + |\alpha| \leq m'$. For every $(\lambda_0, \lambda) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| (1 + \lambda_0^2 + |\lambda|^2)^{k_1} D_\lambda^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0^2} \right)^{k_2} \left(\lambda_0^{-\mu-1/2} f(\lambda_0, \lambda) \right) \right| \\ &\leq C_1 2^{k_2+|\alpha|} (1+r^2+|x|^2)^{2m'+[\mu+1/2]+1} N_{m'}^\mu(\mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)) \\ &\leq C_2 (1+r^2+|x|^2)^{2m'+[\mu+1/2]+1} N_{m'}^\mu(\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

Which completes the proof.

Proposition 3.5. For every $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the function defined by

$$T * \varphi(r, x) = \langle T, \tau_{(r, -x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}) \rangle$$

is continuous on $[0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ and slowly increasing.

Proof. Let $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. From Proposition 3.4 and for every $(r, x) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$, the function $\tau_{(r, x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi})$ belongs to the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, the convolution product $T * \varphi$ is well defined. Let $((r_k, x_k))_k \subset [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (r_k, x_k) = (r, x)$.

Let us prove that the sequence $(\tau_{(r_k, -x_k)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}))_k$ converges to $\tau_{(r, -x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi})$ in $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Since the Fourier-Hankel transform is a topological isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau_{(r_k, -x_k)}^\mu(\check{\varphi})) = \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau_{(r, -x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}))$$

in $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

By relation (3.4), for every $(\lambda_0, \lambda) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau_{(r_k, -x_k)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}))(\lambda_0, \lambda) &= \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{\lambda_0, \lambda}^\mu(r_k, x_k) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\check{\varphi})(\lambda_0, \lambda) \\ &= \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{J}_\mu(\lambda_0 r_k) e^{-i\langle \lambda, x_k \rangle} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\check{\varphi})(\lambda_0, \lambda) \\ &= \frac{r_k^{\mu+1/2}}{2^\mu \Gamma(\mu+1)} j_\mu(r_k \lambda_0) e^{-i\langle \lambda, x_k \rangle} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\check{\varphi})(\lambda_0, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $(\lambda_0, \lambda) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau_{(r_k, -x_k)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}) - \tau_{(r, -x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}))(\lambda_0, \lambda) = \\ & \left(r_k^{\mu+1/2} j_\mu(r_k \lambda_0) e^{-i\langle \lambda, x_k \rangle} - r^{\mu+1/2} j_\mu(r \lambda_0) e^{-i\langle \lambda, x \rangle} \right) \times \frac{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\check{\varphi})(\lambda_0, \lambda)}{2^\mu \Gamma(\mu+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By standard computation and using the relation (3.6), we deduce that for every $(k_1, k_2, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(\lambda_0, \lambda) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + \lambda_0^2 + |\lambda|^2)^{k_1} \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0} \right)^{k_2} D_x^\alpha \left(\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau_{(r_k, -x_k)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}) - \tau_{(r, -x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}))(\lambda_0, \lambda) \right) \right| = 0,$$

which means that $(\tau_{(r_k, -x_k)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}))_k$ converges to $(\tau_{(r, -x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}))$ in $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, \tau_{(r_k, -x_k)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}) \rangle = \langle T, \tau_{(r, -x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}) \rangle,$$

and consequently, the function $T * \varphi$ is continuous on $[0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, from relation (3.1), there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that for every $(r, x) \in [0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|T * \varphi(r, x)| \leq C_1 N_m^\mu(\tau_{(r, -x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi})),$$

and by relation (3.5)

$$|T * \varphi(r, x)| \leq C_2 (1 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{m_1} N_{m_2}^\mu(\varphi),$$

so the function $T * \varphi$ is slowly increasing and the proof is complete. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for every $X > 0$, the sequence $(\theta_{X,N})_N$, $N = (N_0, \dots, N_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, defined by

$$\theta_{X,N}(s, y) = \frac{X}{N_0} \frac{2X}{N_1} \dots \frac{2X}{N_n} \sum_{k_0=0}^{N_0-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{N_n-1} \tau_{\left(\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}, -X + \frac{2X}{N_1} k_1, \dots, -X + \frac{2X}{N_n} k_n\right)}^\mu(\varphi)(s, y) \\ \Psi\left(\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}, -X + \frac{2X}{N_1} k_1, \dots, -X + \frac{2X}{N_n} k_n\right)$$

converges in $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ to the function

$$\theta_X(s, y) = \int_0^X \int_{[-X, X]^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi(r, x) dr dx.$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.4 the function $\theta_{X,N}$ belongs to the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, for every $(s, y) \in]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\theta_{X,N}(s, y) - \theta_X(s, y) = \sum_{k_0=0}^{N_0-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{N_n-1} \int_{\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}}^{\frac{k_0+1}{N_0} X} \dots \int_{-X + \frac{k_n X}{N_n}}^{-X + \frac{k_n+1}{N_n} X} \\ \left(\tau_{\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}, \dots, -X + \frac{2k_n}{N_n} X}(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi\left(\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}, \dots, -X + \frac{2k_n}{N_n} X\right) - \tau_{(r,x)}(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi(r, x) \right) dr dx.$$

Let $(k_1, k_2, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, then

$$(1 + s^2 + |y|^2)^{k_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s^2} \right) D_y^\alpha \left(s^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} (\theta_{X,N} - \theta_X)(s, y) \right) \\ = \sum_{k_0=0}^{N_0-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{N_n-1} \int_{\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}}^{\frac{k_0+1}{N_0} X} \dots \int_{-X + \frac{k_n X}{N_n}}^{-X + \frac{k_n+1}{N_n} X} (1 + s^2 + |y|^2)^{k_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s^2} \right) D_y^\alpha \\ \left(s^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\tau_{\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}, \dots, -X + \frac{2k_n}{N_n} X}(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi\left(\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}, \dots, -X + \frac{2k_n}{N_n} X\right) - \tau_{(r,x)}(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi(r, x) \right) \right) dr dx \\ = \sum_{k_0=0}^{N_0-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{N_n-1} \int_{\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}}^{\frac{k_0+1}{N_0} X} \dots \int_{-X + \frac{k_n X}{N_n}}^{-X + \frac{k_n+1}{N_n} X} \left(F\left(\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}, \dots, -X + \frac{2k_n}{N_n} X\right) - F(r, x, s, y) \right) dr dx$$

where $F : ([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$F(r, x, s, y) = (1 + s^2 + |y|^2)^{k_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s^2} \right) D_y^\alpha \left(\tau_{(r,x)}(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi(r, x) \right).$$

The function F is continuous on $([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)^2$. Moreover,

$$(1 + r^2 + s^2 + |x|^2 + |y|^2) |F(r, x, s, y)| \\ \leq (1 + s^2 + |y|^2)^{k_1+1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s^2} \right) D_y^\alpha \left(s^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \tau_{(r,x)}(\varphi)(s, y) \right) (1 + r^2 + |x|^2) |\Psi(r, x)| \\ \leq (1 + s^2 + |y|^2)^{k_1+1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s^2} \right) D_y^\alpha \left(s^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \tau_{(r,x)}(\varphi)(s, y) \right) (1 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{2 + [\mu + \frac{1}{2}]} |r^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \Psi(r, x)| \\ \leq N_{k_1+k_2+|\alpha|+1}^\mu \left(\tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi) \right) (1 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{2 + [\mu + \frac{1}{2}]} |r^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \Psi(r, x)|$$

and by Proposition 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + r^2 + s^2 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)|F(r, x, s, y)| \\ & \leq CN_{m_1}^\mu(\varphi)(1 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{m_2 + [\mu + \frac{1}{2}]}|(r^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}}\Psi(r, x))| \\ & \leq CN_{m_1}^\mu(\varphi)N_{m_2 + [\mu + \frac{1}{2}]}^\mu(\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality shows that

$$\lim_{r^2 + s^2 + |y|^2 + |x|^2 \rightarrow +\infty} F(r, x, s, y) = 0$$

and consequently, the function F is uniformly continuous.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for $|r - r'| < \alpha$, $|x_j - x'_j| < \alpha$, $1 \leq j \leq n$; we have for every $(s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|F(r, x, s, y) - F(r', x', s, y)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

So for $(N_0, \dots, N_n) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^{n+1}$, such that $\frac{X}{N_0} < \alpha$, $\frac{2X}{N_j} < \alpha$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, we get for every $(s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1 + s^2 + |y|^2)^{k_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s^2} \right)^{\alpha} D_y^\alpha \left(s^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} (\theta_{X,N} - \theta_X)(s, y) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_0=0}^{N_0-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{N_n-1} \int_{\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}}^{\frac{k_0+1}{N_0} X} \dots \int_{-X + \frac{k_n X}{N_n}}^{-X + \frac{k_n+1}{N_n} X} dr dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ & \leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_0=0}^{N_0-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{N_n-1} \frac{X}{N_0} \frac{2X}{N_1} \dots \frac{2X}{N_n} = \varepsilon 2^n X^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that for every $(k_1, k_2, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\sup_{(s,y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n} \left| (1 + s^2 + |y|^2)^{k_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s^2} \right)^{\alpha} D_y^\alpha \left(s^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}} (\theta_{X,N} - \theta_X)(s, y) \right) \right| \xrightarrow{(N_0, \dots, N_n) \rightarrow (+\infty, \dots, +\infty)} 0.$$

Which achieves the proof.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.7. For all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(\cdot][0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu(\cdot][0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle T, \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi) \rangle \psi(r, x) dr dx = \langle T, \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(\cdot, \cdot) \psi(r, x) dr dx \rangle.$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.5, the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle T, \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi) \rangle \psi(r, x) dr dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T * \check{\varphi}(r, -x) \psi(r, x) dr dx,$$

is well defined. Since the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu(\cdot][0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is stable under convolution product, the function

$$(s, y) \mapsto \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(s, y) \psi(r, x) dr dx = \check{\varphi} * \psi(s, -y)$$

belongs to $\mathbb{H}_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, and then $\langle T, \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(\cdot, \cdot) \Psi(r, x) dr dx \rangle$ is also well defined.

Let $X > 0$, by Lemma 3.6, the function

$$\theta_X(s, y) = \int_0^X \int_{[-X, X]^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi(r, x) dr dx$$

belongs to the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. It follows that the function

$$(s, y) \mapsto \int \int_{([0, X] \times [-X, X]^n)^c} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi(r, x) dr dx$$

lies in $\mathbb{H}_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(\cdot, \cdot) \Psi(r, x) dr dx \rangle &= \\ \langle T, \int_0^X \int_{[-X, X]^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(\cdot, \cdot) \Psi(r, x) dr dx \rangle &+ \langle T, \int \int_{([0, X] \times [-X, X]^n)^c} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi(r, x) dr dx \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let $F_X(s, y) = \int \int_{([0, X] \times [-X, X]^n)^c} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(s, y) \Psi(r, x) dr dx$.

Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$N_m^\mu(F_X) \leq \int \int_{([0, X] \times [-X, X]^n)^c} N_m^\mu(\tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)) |\Psi(r, x)| dr dx$$

and from (3.5), we get

$$N_m^\mu(F_X) \leq CN_{m_2}(\varphi) \int \int_{([0, X] \times [-X, X]^n)^c} (1 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{m_1} |\Psi(r, x)| dr dx.$$

the last inequality shows that

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} F_X = 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{H}_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and by relation (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(\cdot, \cdot) \Psi(r, x) dr dx \rangle &= \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, \int_0^X \int_{[-X, X]^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(\cdot, \cdot) \Psi(r, x) dr dx \rangle \\ &= \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, \theta_X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta_{N,X}, N = (N_0, \dots, N_n)$ be the sequence defined in Lemma 3.6, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, \theta_X \rangle &= \lim_{N \rightarrow (\infty, \dots, \infty)} \langle T, \theta_{N,X} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow (\infty, \dots, \infty)} \frac{X}{N_0} \frac{2X}{N_1} \dots \frac{2X}{N_n} \sum_{k_0=0}^{N_0-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{N_n-1} \Psi \left(\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}, -X + \frac{2X}{N_1} k_1, \dots, -X + \frac{2X}{N_n} k_n \right) \\ &\quad \langle T, \tau_{\left(\frac{k_0 X}{N_0}, -X + \frac{2X}{N_1} k_1, \dots, -X + \frac{2X}{N_n} k_n\right)}^\mu(\varphi)(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle \\ &= \int_0^X \int_{[-X, X]^n} \langle T, \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi) \rangle \Psi(r, x) dr dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi)(\cdot, \cdot) \Psi(r,x) dr dx \rangle &= \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^X \int_{[-X,X]^n} \langle T, \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi) \rangle \Psi(r,x) dr dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle T, \tau_{(r,x)}^\mu(\varphi) \rangle \Psi(r,x) dr dx. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 3.8. *For every $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and every $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$; we have*

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(T_{T*\varphi}) = \lambda^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi) \mathcal{H}_\mu(T).$$

Where $T_{T*\varphi}$ is the element of $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, defined by

$$\langle T_{T*\varphi}, \Psi \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T * \varphi(r,x) \Psi(r,x) \frac{dr dx}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.5, for $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $T * \varphi$ is continuous on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$, and slowly increasing. Thus, $T_{T*\varphi}$ is an element of $\mathbb{H}'_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and for every $\Psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_\mu(T_{T*\varphi}), \Psi \rangle = \langle T_{T*\varphi}, \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi) \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle T, \tau_{(r,-x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi}) \rangle \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(r,x) dr dx.$$

Applying Theorem 3.7, we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_\mu(T_{T*\varphi}), \Psi \rangle = \langle T, \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r,-x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi})(\cdot, \cdot) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(r,x) \frac{dr dx}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

Now, for every $(s,y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r,-x)}^\mu(\varphi)(s,y) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(r,x) \frac{dr dx}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(s,-y)}^\mu(\check{\varphi})(r,x) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(r,x) \frac{dr dx}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau_{(s,-y)}^\mu(\varphi))(r,x) \Psi(r,x) \frac{dr dx}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By means of relation (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{(r,-x)}^\mu(\check{\varphi})(s,y) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\Psi)(r,x) \frac{dr dx}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{s,y}^\mu(r,x) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi)(r,x) \Psi(r,x) \frac{dr dx}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \\ &= \mathcal{H}_\mu(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi) \Psi)(s,y). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing in (3.8), it follows that for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{H}_\mu(T * \varphi), \psi \rangle &= \langle T, \mathcal{H}_\mu(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi) \psi) \rangle \\ &= \langle r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi) \mathcal{H}_\mu(T), \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

We denote by $\mathbb{M}(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the subspace of $\mathcal{M}(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of functions f such that for every $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, there is $m = m(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$, for which the function

$$(r, x) \mapsto (1 + r^2 + |x|^2)^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^k D_x^\alpha(f(r, x)),$$

is bounded on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$.

$\mathbb{M}(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is equipped with the topology induced by $\mathcal{M}(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 3.9. We define the space $\mathbb{O}'_\mu(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ to be the subspace of $\mathbb{H}'_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ formed by the distributions T such that $\mathcal{H}_\mu(T)$ is an infinitely differentiable function on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$, verifying for every $(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$, there exists $m = m(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the function

$$(r, x) \mapsto (1 + r^2 + |x|^2)^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^k D_x^\alpha(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(T))(r, x)$$

is bounded on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$.

The space $\mathbb{O}'_\mu(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is endowed with the topology generated by the family

$$\mathcal{Q}_{m, \varphi}^\mu(T) = \gamma_{m, \varphi}^\mu(r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(T)), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where, $\gamma_{m, \varphi}^\mu$ is defined by relation (2.4).

Remark 3.1. It is clear from Definition 3.9, that for every $T \in \mathbb{O}'_\mu(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the function

$$(r, x) \mapsto r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(T)(r, x),$$

is a multiplier of the space $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.10. For every $T \in \mathbb{O}'_\mu(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the mapping $\varphi \mapsto T * \varphi$ is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

Proof. From Proposition 3.8 and Definition 3.9, for every $T \in \mathbb{O}'_\mu(]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and every $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(T * \varphi)(\lambda_0, \lambda) = \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(T)(\lambda_0, \lambda) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi)(\lambda_0, \lambda).$$

Now, from Remark 3.1, the mapping

$$\psi \mapsto \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(T) \psi$$

is continuous from $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, then the result follows from the fact that \mathcal{H}_μ is a topological isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}_\mu(]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself. Q.E.D.

Proposition 3.11. *The Hankel transform \mathcal{H}_μ is a topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}'_\mu(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into $r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{M}(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Where $r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{M}(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of functions f such that

$$f(r, x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}g(r, x),$$

with $g \in \mathbb{M}(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, equipped with the family of semi norms

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{m,\varphi}^\mu(f) = \gamma_{m,\varphi}^\mu(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}f).$$

Proof. • It is clear from Definition 3.9 that \mathcal{H}_μ is an injective mapping from $\mathcal{O}'_\mu(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into $r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{M}(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

• Let $g \in r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{M}(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for every $(r, x) \in \]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(T)(r, x) = g(r, x) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}f(r, x),$$

with $f \in \mathbb{M}(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

This shows that T belongs to $\mathcal{O}'_\mu(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and that \mathcal{H}_μ is a bijective mapping from $\mathcal{O}'_\mu(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into $r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{M}(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

On the other hand, for $T \in \mathcal{O}'_\mu(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{m,\varphi}^\mu(\mathcal{H}_\mu(T)) = \gamma_{m,\varphi}^\mu(r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{H}_\mu(T)) = \mathcal{Q}_{m,\varphi}^\mu(T).$$

Q.E.D.

Remark 3.2. It is clear from Lemma 3.10 that, for every $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S \in \mathcal{O}'_\mu(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the mapping

$$\varphi \longmapsto \langle T, S * \varphi \rangle,$$

defines an element of $\mathbb{H}'_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 3.12. *For every $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S \in \mathcal{O}'_\mu(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we define the convolution product $T * S$ by the following brackets*

$$\langle T * S, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, S * \varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{H}_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proposition 3.13. *For every $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S \in \mathcal{O}'_\mu(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(T * S) = \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{H}_\mu(S)(\lambda_0, \lambda)\mathcal{H}_\mu(T).$$

Proof. Let φ be in $\mathbb{H}_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{H}_\mu(T * S), \varphi \rangle &= \langle T * S, \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi) \rangle \\ &= \langle T, S * \mathcal{H}_\mu(\varphi) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 3.8, Remark 3.1 and the fact that the Hankel transform is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{H}_\mu(T * S), \varphi \rangle &= \langle T, \mathcal{H}_\mu(\lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(S)\varphi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{H}_\mu(T), \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(S)\varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda_0^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\mu(S)\mathcal{H}_\mu(T), \varphi \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the result.

Q.E.D.

Example 3.3. Let δ_μ be defined on $\mathbb{H}_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\langle \delta_\mu, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{(r,x) \rightarrow (0,0)} r^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(r,x).$$

Then, δ_μ belongs to the dual space $\mathbb{H}'_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and by standard computation, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(\delta_\mu) = r^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \otimes 1.$$

In particular, δ_μ belongs to the subspace $\mathbb{O}'_\mu(\]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$ then, from Proposition 3.11 and Proposition 3.13, for every $T \in \mathbb{H}'_\mu(\]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\delta_\mu * T = T.$$

References

- [1] J. Barros-Neto, *An introduction to the theory of distributions*, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1973.
- [2] J. Betancor and I. Marrero, *Multipliers of Hankel transformable generalized functions*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin 33 (3) (1992), 389–401.
- [3] A. Erdélyi and H. Bateman, *Tables of integral transforms*, McGraw-Hill, 1954.
- [4] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. Tricomi and H. Bateman, *Higher transcendental functions*, vol. 1 (3), McGraw-Hill New York, 1953.
- [5] N. N. Lebedev *Special functions and their applications*, Courier Corporation, 1972.
- [6] N. Msehli, L. T. Rachdi and A. Rouz, *Fourier Hankel transform and the Zemanian spaces in the half space*, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 2 (16) (2008), 747–789.
- [7] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann vol. I/II, Paris, 1957.
- [8] F. Trèves, *Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operators Volume 2: Fourier integral operators*, Springer Science & Business Media, vol. 2, 1980
- [9] G. N. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge university press, 1995
- [10] A. H. Zemanian, *Generalized integral transformations*, Interscience Publishers New York, vol. 18, 1968.